



Criterion	Importance (H/M/L)	Comments
<i>Following are site screening criteria from community input – please see after criteria for additional community questions and input, including potential sites and comments on project approaches. Note that importance was not rated separately since all criteria have been identified as important concerns by multiple community members.</i>		
Effects on Property Value		Presence of well facility could be a negative for property value (due to aesthetics, disturbance; or for properties near parks, due to loss/alteration of parklands). Lowered property values could translate to a loss of revenue to the City.
Cost		Consideration of costs should include <i>all</i> costs – construction, loss of property value/tax revenues, litigation costs, etc.
Opportunities to Combine		Combining construction with other planned projects could localize disturbance from construction and shorten its overall duration.
Parks and Recreational Lands		Project should be sited to minimize loss of parks and other effects on recreational land.
“Fit” and Consistency with Surroundings		Because of potential noise associated with the well facility, siting should consider locations where there is already a higher ambient noise



Criterion	Importance (H/M/L)	Comments
		<p>level—industrial areas, schools, fire stations (for instance Fire Station No. 1 at corner of Middlefield and Santa Monica).</p> <p>Also, well facilities should be sited where they would be less visually disruptive. Consider an industrial or school setting (where institutional buildings are already present, and there is a level of ongoing activity) rather than a residential neighborhood.</p>
Timeliness		<p>The project is worth more to the community today than it will be in 4 – 5 years when Hetch Hetchy system seismic upgrades have been completed. Timely acquisition, environmental review, approvals, and construction are therefore important to maximize the project’s benefits to the community.</p> <p>Site selection should prioritize a more “efficient” site so the project can be put in place in a timely manner.</p> <p>For efficiency, City-owned property or</p>



City of Menlo Park

Criterion	Importance (H/M/L)	Comments
		easement establishment may be easiest/best?
<i>Community input on potential sites</i>		
		Could Burgess Park be considered for a well site?
		Consider Fire Station No. 1 on the corner of Middlefield and Santa Monica.
		Consider school sites (in particular, Menlo-Atherton High School).
		Consider purchasing property from County (Flood Park?) or federal government (VA Hospital).
		Try a different, creative approach – send out an “RFP” to identify individuals or groups who would be willing sellers of property or would be willing to work with the City to establish an easement.
<i>Community suggestions for project approaches</i>		
		Could MPMWD negotiate an agreement to share the CalWater emergency supply?



City of Menlo Park

Criterion	Importance (H/M/L)	Comments
		Belle Haven area seems like a natural place to put water storage.
<i>General questions and comments</i>		
		Who was noticed for this meeting?
		What magnitude of earthquake is the SFPUC's Hetch Hetchy system engineered to withstand?
		Where is water for Menlo Park taken out of the Hetch Hetchy system? On the east side of the City? Water isn't "coming back" to the City from Crystal Springs?
		Could the well facilities be entirely underground? Some of the known wells shown on your map have no aboveground facilities.
		What is the potential to bring the other known wells online to provide supply in an emergency?
		These wells are being discussed as providing potable water supply. Is this the same type of facility that would provide firefighting supply?
		You talked about well interference as a criterion



City of Menlo Park

Criterion	Importance (H/M/L)	Comments
		for siting the new wells, but how much does that matter if the existing wells aren't being used for potable supply?
		Specific capacity is defined as "gallons per minute per foot of drawdown" – what does "per foot of drawdown" mean?
		What is probability that the wells will actually be used?
		What would the project cost, and is cost being considered?
		In the event of an emergency, are there issues with relying on the existing distribution system? Does it make sense to have an emergency supply if delivery of the supply is problematic?
		What is the probability that our delivery system would still be able to operate after an earthquake large enough to damage the Hetch Hetchy system and cut off Hetch Hetchy supply? (I.e., even with the new wells in place, would MPMWD actually be able to deliver



City of Menlo Park

Criterion	Importance (H/M/L)	Comments
		water in an emergency?)
		Does the distribution system have isolation valves, and could excess water flow trigger an isolation valve to operate? (I.e., could water be contained to avoid loss of supply after an earthquake?)
		Can people in the community see what wells look like, for instance, the wells recently installed in Palo Alto? How was the Palo Alto emergency supply project different from this one?
		What will the well buildings look like?
		Is the City still looking into a storage project?
		If there's a disruption in Hetch Hetchy supply, how many days of water supply does the City have?
		Is there/shouldn't there be a central control system for the City's water supply?
		If the City Council approves the project, how



City of Menlo Park

Criterion	Importance (H/M/L)	Comments
		quickly could a project realistically be put into place?
		What kind of environmental documentation is needed for this project?
		I see this project as an excuse to provide more water for development, specifically the Bohannon project. I'd like to see the City provide the numbers behind the emergency water supply project.